d e

Review Committee Member: Michael Burt - Lead Org: Actua

Assessment Form: Targeted Call 2021

Email: burt@conferenceboard.ca

Project Name: Actua's InSTEM Program - Next Step Model

Link to reviewer packet: ACTUA Reviewer Packet

Phone Number:

Lead Organization: Actua

Conflict of Interest Verification



Conflict of Interest Verification : I have acknowledged and agreed to follow FSC-CCF's Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest Policy and I have no Conflict with this grant application.

A. Relevance

Project aligns with FSC's priorities, addresses recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada, and demonstrates demand for service.

A1: Alignment with FSC's strategic priorities

O Displays little or no alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities. Pursues FSC's
 Strategic Priorities, but
 alignment lacks clarity.

O Adequately aligns with O Presents outstanding FSC's Strategic Priorities. alignment with FSC's

O Presents outstanding alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities in a way that demonstrates that FSC should not miss the opportunity to partner with this project.

*FSC's Strategic Plan for your reference

A2: Addressing systemic challenges

O Shows little or no scope O Presents general but • Presents clear and to address recognized limited scope to address relevant scope to address outstanding potential to systemic challenges about recognized systemic recognized systemic future skills in Canada. challenges about future challenges about future skills in Canada. skills in Canada.

O Demonstrates address recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada with a strong and welldefined scope that sets this project aside from other initiatives.

A3: Demand for service

O Demonstration of the irrelevant or poorly articulated.

O Demonstrates that this service but explanation lacks clarity.

⊙ Clearly demonstrates demand for this service is there is some demand for that there are high levels of demand for this service levels of demand for this and explains how the project adequately fulfills this demand.

O Articulates a deep understanding of the high service and makes a strong case for how providing this service is timely.

B. Innovation and Evidence

Project pursues a new way of doing things that can advance knowledge and/or is an evidence-informed model.

B1: Innovative nature

O It is not innovative. O While it is a departure seeks funding for from business as usual, business as usual and, if interventions proposed applicable, is not informed are not particularly novel by evidence. vaguely informed by evidence.

 Proposes clearly O Proposes solutions that innovative solutions and, if are without a doubt one of applicable, articulates how a kind and highly the novel interventions are innovative and, if and, if applicable, are only adequately informed by applicable, makes a evidence. strong case for how the interventions are arounded on evidence

that is relevant and applied in a novel way.

B2: Evidence generation and new knowledge

O Presents unclear or no O Demonstrates intent to ⊙ Presents a clear and plan to generate insights generate insights and or to advance knowledge. advance knowledge that

can benefit the skills ecosystem but the plan lacks clarity.

O There are strong and adequate plan to generate well-designed strategies in insights and advance place to generate insights knowledge that will clearly and advance knowledge benefit the skills in a way that sets this project aside from other ecosystem at large. initiatives.

C. Learning

Project has already generated learning that informed the additional scope and identifies concrete problem statements and learning questions to address in the next phase.

C1: Application of learnings from current project

O Does not demonstrate O Presents general but how learning generated limited connection from the current project between learning informed additional scope. generated from the current project and additional scope.

O Presents a clear and relevant connection between learning generated from the current project and additional scope.

O Makes an outstanding case for how the additional scope is grounded on learning generated from the current project and expertly demonstrates ability to continue to pursue learning.

C2: Problem statements and additional learning questions

O Presents vague or no concrete additional learning questions.

 Somewhat defines O Clearly identifies additional learning concrete and additional learning questions but the questions that are connection between concrete and relevant to questions and the problem address the problem statements lacks clarity. statements.

O Articulates well-defined and concrete learning questions that will without a doubt contribute to addressing the problem statements within and beyond the scope of the project.

D. Equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI)

Project incorporates the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders, particularly groups facing barriers, in the design and execution of the project, presents practices grounded in EDI principles, and shows potential to further EDI.

D1: Incorporation of the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders

O Does not incorporate the perspectives of endusers and other stakeholders in the design the design and execution are involved in the design and other stakeholders, and execution of the project.

O Shows that end-users O Presents clear and other stakeholders are somewhat involved in and other stakeholders of the project, but lacks clarity around the effective project in effective and incorporation of their perspectives.

evidence that end-users and execution of the relevant ways.

⊙ There are strong and well-designed strategies in place to involve end-users demonstrating an outstanding commitment to incorporating their perspectives at every stage of the project.

D2: EDI practices & activities

O Project practices and activities do not directly support and are not explicitly grounded in EDI loosely grounded in EDI principles.

O Project practices and activities somewhat support but are only principles.

O Project is clearly arounded in EDI policies. practices or activities.

• Project is clearly grounded in EDI policies, practices or activities and clearly demonstrates commitment to EDI and potential to be a leader in promoting EDI in the skills

ecosystem.

D3: Impact on furthering EDI

O Anticipated impact on O Demonstrates intent to O Anticipated impact on furthering EDI under the further EDI under the project scope is irrelevant project scope, but or vague.

rationale lacks clarity.

furthering EDI under the project scope is clear and EDI in the field or sector relevant.

 Presents promising and strong strategies to further with potential to impact the skills ecosystem at large.

E. Capacity

The lead organization (and partners if applicable) have the skills, experience and resources to execute the project successfully and hold a good track record with FSC.

E1: Skills, experience & resources

O Project team lacks	O Project team has some	O Project team clearly	 Project team
skills, experience and	of the skills, experience	demonstrates adequate	demonstrates strong
resources needed to	and resources needed to	skills, experience and	skills, experience and
execute the project.	execute the project.	resources to execute the	resources to succeed in
		project.	the project and to be a
			leader who influences the

E2: FSC track record

O Presents little or no O Presents somewhat evidence of a good track adequate evidence of a record with FSC and of good track record with FSC and of addressing addressing challenges faced during the current challenges faced during project, indicating that the the current project, organization may struggle indicating that the to manage the new projectorganization may have effectively and limited capacity to responsibly. manage the new project effectively and

responsibly.

• Presents adequate evidence of a good track record with FSC and of addressing challenges faced during the current project, indicating that the the current project, organization will manage indicating that the the new project effectively organization has strong and responsibly.

O Shows clear evidence of an impeccable track record with FSC and has expertly addressed challenges faced during project and risk management systems in place to take on the new project.

skills ecosystem.

F. Coherence

Project displays a logical connection between proposed activities and project objectives with a work plan and a budget that are reasonable, appropriate and aligned.

F1: Connection between activities & objectives

O Lacks logical connection between O Activities and objectives O Presents a clear and are somewhat connected, logical connection between activities and

O Activities and objectives are without a doubt strongly connected in a

activities and objectives. but the link lacks clarity. objectives.

objectives.

thoughtful way.

F2: Budget

O Budget is not O Budget is somewhat reasonable, appropriate or reasonable and appropriate, but is only loosely aligned with workplan.

O Budget is clearly contract of the second s

Reviewer overall recommendation

Considering the proposal as a whole, do you think FSC should fund this project as a worthwhile contribution to the skills ecosystem?

Overall Recommendation:

- ⊙ I recommend this project for funding
- O I recommend this project for funding conditional on changes and/or more information

O I do not recommend this project for funding

Explain your reasoning for this recommendation.

-well aligned with existing research (including CBoC) on need for land based STEM learning in indigenous communities -teaching lodges concept is interesting

-capacity building through internships at the community level

What do you think are the strongest aspects of this project?

-strong team with a well defined need and solution -multiple events for KM across Canada -strong partnership with Indigenous communities and PSEs

Where do you think the project has gaps or challenges?

-proposal mentions connections with non-Indigenous students, but it is not clearly articulated how they will do that

-budget involves alot of their staff (about 1/4 of their annual labour costs) with no in-kind from senior management

-long-term sustainability is not addressed, how would this project fund itself post FSC funding -KM beyond the events is not well articulated

-did not clearly define how this aligns with FSC goals (though I believe it does align with pillar #2)

Comments

Please share any other comments.